

# ETHOSGRID OPEN STANDARD

*A Regulatory-Ready Framework for Governance of Delegated Machine Authority in Critical Infrastructure*

Brandon N. Owens, AIxEnergy

**New York • 2026**



© 2025 Brandon N. Owens, AIxEnergy, LLC  
All rights reserved.

No part of this publication—including, without limitation, its concepts, frameworks, models, methodologies, analyses, diagrams, taxonomies, terminology, or organizational structures—may be reproduced, adapted, summarized, translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the author, except for brief quotations used solely for purposes of bona fide review, criticism, or scholarly citation, provided such use does not substitute for or diminish the value of the original work.

This work is independently published by the author. All intellectual property rights, including copyright and any applicable common-law rights, are expressly reserved.

The views and opinions expressed herein are solely those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of any organization, institution, employer, governmental body, or other entity with which the author is or has been affiliated.

This publication is provided for informational and educational purposes only. It does not constitute, and should not be relied upon as, legal, regulatory, financial, technical, or professional advice. The author makes no representations or warranties, express or implied, regarding the accuracy, completeness, timeliness, or applicability of the content and expressly disclaims any liability for errors, omissions, or outcomes arising from the use, misuse, or interpretation of this material.

ISBN: 979-8241975911

This edition has been optimized for digital reading.

## CONTENTS

EthosGrid Open Standard.....	1
Regulatory Scope and Intended Use.....	1
Alignment with Existing Regulatory Constructs.....	2
Governance Model Overview .....	2
Authorization and Execution Control.....	2
Audit, Evidence, and Compliance.....	3
Emergency Operations .....	3
Change Management and Amendment Control.....	3
Oversight, Roles, and Accountability.....	3
Adoption Pathways .....	3
Closing Note.....	4

# EthosGrid Open Standard

Artificial intelligence and advanced automation now participate directly in operational, market, and reliability decisions across critical infrastructure. In many cases, these systems act at speeds and levels of complexity that exceed traditional human-mediated governance. Existing regulatory regimes primarily govern outcomes *after execution* through audits, enforcement actions, and retrospective review.

EthosGrid addresses a structural gap: authority exercised at execution time without explicit authorization, constraint, or attribution. It defines a governance-at-execution framework ensuring that machine-mediated actions with reliability, safety, or market consequence are:

- explicitly authorized;
- bounded in scope and duration;
- attributable to a responsible institutional authority;
- auditable before and after execution; and
- reviewable under existing oversight and enforcement mechanisms.

This framework does not mandate specific technologies, vendors, or architectures. Instead, it specifies governance semantics and invariants that regulators and system operators may incorporate into reliability standards, market rules, operating agreements, compliance programs, or technical standards.

## Regulatory Scope and Intended Use

EthosGrid applies to systems in which machine-mediated recommendations or actions materially influence or execute decisions with public, economic, safety, or reliability consequence, including but not limited to:

- AI-assisted operational control and restoration systems;
- automated or algorithmic dispatch and market optimization;
- decision-support systems that practically determine operator action;
- coordination systems operating at tempos that preclude meaningful real-time human intervention.
- This framework does not:
- mandate autonomous operation;
- replace retained human authority or statutory responsibility; or
- prescribe implementation technologies.

## Alignment with Existing Regulatory Constructs

EthosGrid is intentionally aligned with established regulatory concepts:

Regulatory construct	EthosGrid analogue
<b>Responsible entity</b>	<b>Constitutional Authority</b>
<b>Separation of duties</b>	<b>Runtime trust boundaries</b>
<b>Authorization</b>	<b>Capability-based execution</b>
<b>Emergency operations</b>	<b>Emergency mode with scope lock</b>
<b>Audit &amp; compliance</b>	<b>Pre-execution governance records</b>
<b>Change management</b>	<b>Formal amendment control</b>

Nothing in this framework displaces existing jurisdiction, enforcement authority, or reliability obligations.

## Governance Model Overview

### Separation of Functions

A conforming implementation shall enforce separation among:  
proposal (untrusted cognition);  
authorization (trusted governance);  
execution (constrained actuation); and  
override (institutional human authority).

This mirrors established expectations for independence, checks and balances, and accountability in regulated systems.

### Governed Unit: Proposed Action

All consequential machine-mediated activity shall be expressed as a **Proposed Action** carrying sufficient semantic information for governance evaluation without requiring disclosure of model internals or epistemic completeness.

### Authorization and Execution Control

#### Explicit Authorization Requirement

No machine-mediated action with operational, market, or reliability consequence shall execute without explicit authorization issued by the designated authority function.

## **Capability-Based Execution**

Authorization shall take the form of a scoped, time-bounded capability token bound to an approved governance artifact set. Execution systems shall reject actions lacking valid authorization regardless of urgency or technical feasibility.

## **Audit, Evidence, and Compliance**

### **Pre-Execution Audit Capture**

Governance evaluation outcomes shall be recorded prior to execution, including denials, deferrals, and blocked actions.

### **Audit Independence and Review**

Audit records shall be tamper-evident and independent of the systems they govern. Failure to conduct interpretive review at an institutionally defined cadence shall constitute a governance deficiency independent of operational outcome.

## **Emergency Operations**

Emergency conditions may accelerate approval pathways. They shall not expand permissible scope, targets, impact tiers, reversibility classes, or governing policy sets. This preserves operational flexibility while preventing emergency-driven authority expansion.

## **Change Management and Amendment Control**

Changes to governance artifacts or authorization scope shall be treated as reallocations of authority and shall require:

- explicit scope definition;
- documented risk and impact assessment;
- approval by the designated Constitutional Authority; and
- versioned retention for regulatory review.
- Performance improvement alone shall not justify expansion of delegated authority.

## **Oversight, Roles, and Accountability**

EthosGrid distinguishes four institutional roles: Constitutional Authority, Operating Authority, System Implementer, and Independent Review Authority. These roles may be held by the same organization but shall remain functionally distinct.

## **Adoption Pathways**

Regulators and system operators may adopt EthosGrid by:

- incorporating its invariants into new or revised reliability standards;

- referencing it within market rules or operating agreements;
- using it as a benchmark for compliance and audit sufficiency; or
- piloting it in limited-scope deployments with audit-only enforcement.

## **Closing Note**

EthosGrid does not ask regulators to approve machines as decision-makers. It provides a mechanism to ensure that authority remains explicit, bounded, and reviewable as technology changes how decisions are prepared and executed.

The question is not whether AI participates in critical decisions—it already does. The question is whether governance operates where authority now resides.